IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

WEDNESDAY, THE 31ST JANUARY 2007 / 11TH MAGHA 1928

WP(C).No. 3224 of 2007(H)

PETITIONERS:

----

1. G.RADHAKRISHNAN, S/O.K.GOPALAKRISHNAN NAIR, NANDOORATHU, CHINGOLI P.O., ALAPPUZHA (FULL TIME MENIAL, DEVASWOM BOARD HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, CHERIYANADU).

2. V.RAJMOHAN, S/O.G.VASUDEVAN NAIR, KOTTADIYIL PUTHENVEEDU, EREZHA SOUTH P.O., CHETTIKULANGARA, MAVELIKARA (FULL TIME MENIAL, DEVASWOM BOARD HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, CHERIYANADU).

BY ADV. SRI.S.SUBHASH CHAND

RESPONDENTS:

----

1. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL EDUCATION, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
MAVELIKARA.

4. THE CORPORATE MANAGER, TRAVANCORE
DEVASWOM BOARD SCHOOLS/SECRETARY,
TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD, OFFICE OF THE
TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD, DEVASWOM BUILDING,
NANDHANCODE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

R1 TO R3 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER (SHRI A. J. VARGHESE)

R4 BY ADV. SHRI P. PARAMESWARAN NAIR, SC, TDB.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 31/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K. M. JOSEPH, J.

W.P.C. NO. 3224 OF 2007 H

Dated this the 31st January, 2007

JUDGMENT

Case of petitioners, in brief, is as follows:

On the strength of their claim under Rule 51A, they were granted appointments as full time menials with effect from 1.6.2004 and 15.7.2004 respectively. But, the appointments were not approved on the ground of delay. Ext.P9 is stated to be a Revision Petition filed by the Manager and Ext.P10 is stated to be the Revision Petition jointly filed by the petitioners. It is pointed out that the first petitioner is not getting his salary since 1.6.2004 and the second petitioner is not getting salary from 15.7.2004. It is stated that they are put to great difficulties and they are living on borrowed money. In such circumstances, I feel that an urgent decision should be taken on Exts.P9 and P10.

2. Having heard the learned Government Pleader and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of fourth respondent, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing second respondent to

consider and take a decision on Exts.P9 and P10 in accordance with law, after hearing the affected parties also, as expeditiously as possible and, at any rate, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this Judgment. Amounts, if any, found due to the petitioners shall be paid without any further delay.

K. M. JOSEPH, JUDGE

kbk.